An Error of the Ohio Pure Food Commission.

Respectfully Submitted
to the
Medical Profession.

Letter from Professor C. T. P. FENNEL,

Chemist for the Ohio Pure Food Commission,

TO THE ATTORNEY OF MARIANI & COMPANY.

Cusi Get 26/9 4. Lic Lichten Etem Eng Kus York Dear Sir 75 hind Iwill fay that Thave not seen My hapen + consequently can base to opinion on the garbled versions presented. Thould lete to read them and make such corrections as will fet the matter right louch fame mall d'received a cercula, letter of your chients ably letting forth the claims of the late pupleasantness. This circular letter covers the Case exactly and do not fee what I Could add to it to strengthen your stand: Alle Yours truly Mass Stennel.

CABLE ADDRESS: JAROS-NEW YORK.

TELEPHONE, 1151 18TH ST.

MARIANI & CO.

PARIS: 41 BOULEVARD HAUSSMANN.
LONDON: 239 OXFORD ST
MONTREAL: 28-30 HOSPITAL ST.
NEW YORK: 52 WEST 15TH ST.



STANDARD COCA PREPARATIONS.

Introduced through the Medical Profession since 1863.

VIN MARIANI (Wine).

ELIXIR MARIANI (Cordiat).

THÉ MARIANI (Extr. Fluid),

PÂTE MARIANI (Lozenge).

PASTILLES MARIANI (Lozenge).

(Coca and Cocaine).

Invariably uniform in results.

To avoid substitution please specify "MARIANI."

New York, 52 West 15th Str.

To the Medical Profession:

many thousand physicians, who during the past thirty years have endorsed and prescribed "Vin Mariani," we have taken pleasure in compiling and submitting the following pages, thus giving the most overwhelming proof to our patrons and the entire medical profession that their confidence in "Vin Mariani" is well placed and thoroughly merited.

Very respectfully,



The Ohio Pure Food Law and "Vin Mariani."

N. Y. MEDICAL JOURNAL, The Pure Food Commission's chemist, Professor Charles T. P. Fennel, held that "Vin Mariani" was

"not up to the standard within the meaning of the law." The commission's procedure, hasty and summary as it seems to have been in this instance, attracted wide attention by reason of the copious references made to it in the newspapers and on account of the great esteem in which the preparation had been held for many years. Consequently, M. Mariani and his associates and representatives in business suffered an injustice—unintentional, no doubt, so far as the commission and its chemist were concerned, as is shown by the fact that Professor Fennel has since acknowledged formally that an error was committed,

Mistakes in the Execution of Food-Adulteration Laws.

MEDICAL NEWS,
Philadelphia, Dec. 8, '94, p. 640.
Those who are responsible for the desirable prosecution of offenders against the laws as to

the adulteration of foods should be extremely cautious not to make mistakes in selecting the articles against which to proceed. Against proprietary preparations, as such, owned or manufactured by non-medical men, there can be no criticism; indeed, medicine is indebted to non-medical chemists and honorable manufacturers for many preparations that have been of great service in therapeutics. It would be a pity if the justifiable intolerance of the nostrum traffic should proceed to such lengths as to include useful non-secret preparations made by respectable manufacturers. To make a mistake in choosing articles against which to proceed (as, for example, the Ohio Pure Food Commission did in the case of "Vin Mariani"), weakens the power of the prosecutors and creates a prejudice against their judgment. There are plenty of certainly and really adulterated articles; there are plenty of secret preparations and nostrums against which action can be taken with benefit to public health.

A Victory for "Vin Mariani."

Dr. SAJOUS' UNIVERSAL MED. JOURNAL, A mistake sometimes Philadelphia, December, 1894, p. 20. A mistake sometimes serves to shed light; a mistake has served to

officially prove that "Vin Mariani" is clearly and completely up to the standard its patrons and owners claim for it. Prof. C. T. P. Fennel, of Cincinnati, chemist of the Ohio Pure Food Commission, having, by error, included "Vin Mariani" in a list of products not up to the standard within the meaning of the law, not only acknowledged his mistake, but also authorized the public announcement that its sale in the State of Ohio was perfectly lawful. The stringent laws regulating food products in Ohio make this testimonial exceedingly valuable not only to Mariani & Co., but also to physicians at large, for it demonstrates to the latter that the confidence they have always shown in "Vin Mariani" as a nerve tonic lay on a solid basis. We can hardly see how any other conclusion could be reached when we consider the list of names indorsing it—i. e., the cream of the profession.

"Vin Mariani" and the Ohio State Food Commission.

December, 1894, p. 747.

The Ohio Dairy and Food Commission has recently begun a vigorous attack upon the adul-

teration of foods and drugs in that State, and in six months has brought about ninety cases before the courts. One of these cases was that against a prominent druggist of Cincinnati, for selling "Vin Mariani," as being a preparation below the standard required by the Ohio Pure Food Law. The chemist of the commission has, we are informed, since he made his report adverse to "Vin Mariani," found that he was in error, and that the preparation is up to the standard and that its sale in Ohio is perfectly legitimate.

Editorial.

MEDICAL MIRROR,
St. Louis, November, '94, p. 520.

In another column will be found a refutation of a recent reflection upon "Vin Mariani."

"Vin Mariani" has been before the medical profession for many years. It has received the indorsement, almost unani-

mously, of the leading members of the medical profession the world over. It seemed to us, when we read the published attack, a few weeks ago, against this product which we had invariably found so valuable, that some mistake had been made. It is with great pleasure that the *Medical Mirror* publishes the announcement of the Vin Mariani Company, and begs to assure its readers that full investigation has satisfactorily proven the purity and reliability of this product. Were this not so, the *Mirror* would not be willing to continue the advertisement of "Vin Mariani." The editor of the *Mirror* has for years used, to most excellent advantage, "Vin Mariani" among his patients in need of a supporting wine. It has served admirably as a crutch to lift up broken nerves and tide over dangers, and give patients help until upon the high and dry land of health.

One Mistake of the Ohio Pure Food Commission.

MEDICAL PROGRESS, It seems that the chemist of Louisville, December, '94, p. 295. the Ohio Pure Food Commission was a little hasty in his examination of "Vin Mariani." We are to-day in receipt of a communication which shows that, according to the latest edition of the United States Dispensatory, this preparation is up to the standard, as established therein. It is well that the mistake has been discovered and corrected so quickly, as no injustice should be done to anyone.

"Vin Mariani."

N. A. JOURNAL OF HOMEOPATHY,
New York, January, '95, p. 8.
Commission, with flying colors; Professor Fennel has admitted that their product is fully up to standard.

Editorial.

NATIONAL MEDICAL REVIEW, "Vin Mariani" has won Washington, D. C., December, '94, p. 160. the day over the uncalled-for attacks of a recent Ohio chemist, who now comes out with the statement that he was in error when he made criticisms on this preparation, and declares it fully up to the required standard. In

haste he thought to overthrow this excellent preparation, but his action has only served to advertise these goods and to make them even more popular than ever.

A Real Victory.

WESTERN MEDICAL JOURNAL, One of the most success-Fort Scott, Kans., January, '95, p. 19. ful victories for many years was that won by Mariani & Co. in the successful test "Vin Mariani" withstood, by Professor C. T. P. Fennel, of Cincinnati, chemist for the Ohio State Pure Food Commission.

The Ohio Pure Food Law.

MASS. MEDICAL JOURNAL,

Quite recently C. T. Fennel (sug-

December, '94, p. 538. gestive name) made an analysis of "Vin Mariani," and on the strength of it, John Keeshan, a well-known druggist of Cincinnati, was arrested on the charge of violating the Ohio Pure Food Law in the sale of "Vin Mariani," the claim being made by Fennel that it was not up to the standard within the meaning of the law. He has now acknowledged that he made a mistake in establishing what the standard is, and acknowledges that he had not, at the time of making his analysis, examined the latest edition of the United States Dispensatory, and that "Vin Mariani" is clearly and completely up to standard as established therein. The case against Mr. Keeshan for the sale of "Vin Mariani" was thereby disposed of, and Fennel also authorized the public announcement, through the press, of the fact that the sale of "Vin Mariani" in the State of Ohio is perfectly lawful, and cannot be interfered with under the laws. This clearly and completely vindicates the absolute purity of "Vin Mariani."

"Vin Mariani."

Commissioner objected. Upon investigation, the Charges that had been made were withdrawn without a trial, the State Commissioner becoming convinced that "Vin Mariani" was not

misrepresented. This decision was based upon the description of coca as given in the United States Dispensatory, and the result may be considered in the light of a victory for the "Vin Mariani," without the necessity of a struggle on their part.

Raiding the Druggists.

KANSAS CITY MEDICAL INDEX, November, '94, p. 354. Ohio has a very stringent set of laws for the protection of the people against the adulteration

of food products, wines, liquors, medicines, milk, etc. Our old and honored friend "Vin Mariani," we are astonished to see, was included in the articles said to be below the standard, a fact we can hardly understand.

[Since writing the above, we have received from the proprietors of "Vin Mariani" a communication in which it appears that they are completely vindicated, and that the arrest of the druggists for selling this product was a mistake.

We gladly give space to the communication on page 351 of this number of the *Index*, and are much pleased to see that the confidence which has always been placed in this product still continues to be well merited.—Ed.]

A Legal Fiasco.

NATIONAL DRUGGIST, "Ignorance of the law excuseth St. Louis, Dec., '94, p. 380. no man,' is a legal axiom. Should ignorance of facts excuse an officer of the law for precipitating the arrest of and trial of a citizen upon so grave a charge as selling impure food or drugs?

Prof. Fennel made all the amends in his power for the error committed by him. Nobody is hurt as yet. On the contrary, as stated, the "Vin Mariani" people get a big and well deserved advertisement—we will not say gratis, because they had to employ lawyers and go into court, both of which are expensive luxuries, but at a price which they are doubtless more than willing to pay.

The complete retraction which Prof. Fennel has made to the "Vin Mariani" people shows him at least to be honest, and is to his credit; indeed, few men would have so bravely acknowledged a mistake; but this very case furnishes the best possible illustration of the injustice which might be inflicted by such

laws, if their enforcement should be dependent on dishonest or incompetent officers.

"Vin Mariani."

ALABAMA MED. AND SURG. AGE,

January, '95, p. 87.

a mild stimulant, a diffusible tonic, a strengthener of the nervous system, in convalescent and enfeebled conditions, "Vin Mariani" is one of the best remedies.

The foregoing extracts from medical journals suffice to show the general feeling towards "Vin Mariani." We need not quote further, yet we have pleasure in adding the following list of medical journals brought to our notice, that have mentioned kind words in our behalf, induced by the error of the Ohio Food Commission:

| | | | | | | ALLON |
|--|-----|---|-----|------|---|-------|
| Chicago Medical Times, January, '95, | | | ٠ | | | 40 |
| Occidental Medical Times, December, '94, | | | | | | 733 |
| Southern California Practitioner, November, '94, | | | | | | 478 |
| Cleveland Medical Gazette, November, '94, | | | | | | 40 |
| Pacific Medical Journal, December, '94, | | | | | | 781 |
| American Medical Journal, November, '94, . | | | | | | 527 |
| The Sanitarian, December, '94, | | | | | | 523 |
| Buffalo Medical and Surgical Journal, December, 94 | | | | | | 324 |
| Columbus Medical Journal, November 13, '94, | | | | | | II |
| Alienist and Neurologist, October, '94, | | | | | | . 2 |
| Georgia Eclectic Medical Journal, October, '94, . | 1 | | | | | 282 |
| Memphis Medical Monthly, December, '94, | | | | | | 560 |
| Memphis Medical Monthly, November, '94, | | | | | | 512 |
| Omaha Clinic, November, '94, | | | | | | xvii |
| St. Louis Medical Era, November, '94, | | | | | | 1112 |
| Toledo Medical Compend, October, '94, | 11 | | | | | 472 |
| Charlotte Medical Journal, November, '94, | | | | | | 552 |
| Clinical Reporter, November, '94, | | | | | | 360 |
| Kansas City Medical Record, November, '94, | | | | | | 384 |
| Trained Nurse, January, '95, | | | - 5 | | | 66 |
| Toledo Medical and Surgical Reporter, December, 'c | 14. | | | | | 858 |
| Physician and Surgeon, November, '94, | 7, | | Ť | 1111 | | 529 |
| Alabama Medical and Surgical Age, November, '94, | | | | • | | 645 |
| Texas Health Journal, November, '94, | 1 | 1 | • | | • | 114 |
| Northwestern Lancet, November 15, 94, | | • | | • | | 448 |
| 2101011110000111 13011000, 110000000 133, 94, | | | | | | 440 |

| | | PAGE |
|---|--|------|
| Medical Argus, November, '94, | | 79 |
| New York Medical Times, November, '94, | | 336 |
| Maryland Medical Journal, November 3, '94, | | x |
| Pacific Record of Medicine and Surgery, November 15, '94, | | 60 |
| Medical Bulletin, December, '94, | | 475 |
| College and Clinical Record, December, '94, | | 311 |
| Pacific Druggist, November 30, '94, | | . 32 |
| The Registered Pharmacist, December, '94, | | 269 |
| Medical Sentinel, January, '95, | | 47 |

To all these journals, as also to those that may not have been brought to our notice, we herewith express our sincere appreciation; at the same time we tender our thanks to the many physicians who have so kindly written us congratulatory letters and renewed expressions of confidence, which it is our constant endeavor to merit.

Very respectfully,

MARIANI & CO.,

52 West 15th St., New York.

Paris: 41 Boulevard Haussmann. London: 239 Oxford Street. Montreal: 28-30 Hospital Street.

The medical profession has recognized that it is the highest compliment to Monsieur Mariani that so many have endeavored to imitate him.

Mariani was the originator and the first to introduce Coca preparations in a uniformly reliable and palatable form.

The beneficial effects are immediate and lasting, and will be found useful wherever a mild tonic stimulant is required.

"Vin Mariani" during past thirty years has given utmost satisfaction to every physician subjecting it to test.

We have over 7,000 written endorsements from leading physicians.

We caution against the many so-called Coca Wines, which are nauseating and frequently harmful.

To avoid all disappointment, we ask physicians to specify "VIN MARIANI," and to instruct patients to insist on receiving same, and not allow deception and substitution.





UNWRAPPED.

WRAPPED.

IMPORTANT NOTE

REGARDING

Dangerous Substitutions.

TE CAUTION OUR PATRONS to ask for and insist on receiving "Vin Mariani." Shameful substitution is frequently attempted; the shape of our bottle and style of packing have been imitated, and even the wording of our labels and advertisements is being appropriated by unscrupulous, would-be competitors, who thus endeavor to deceive the physicians who prescribe "Vin Mariani," as well as the patients. Owing to this we are continually receiving complaints from doctors, with special requests to warn the public and the medical profession against such deceitful substitutions.

Complete Retraction by the New York Times,

October 28, 1894.

VIN MARIANI'S CASE.

What Prof. Fennel, of Cincinnati, Said in Vindication of this Tonic.

After giving an account of an interview with Prof. FENNEL, the *New York Times* of October 28, 1894, editorially concludes its article as follows:

"It is regretted, of course, that even a temporary injustice should have been inflicted, but the professor has, by his prompt recognition of the merits of the case, done all that lies in his power to right any injury that may have been inflicted. It is felt, however, that the mistake has not been without its resultant good, for the fact that this tonic has been restored to deserving recognition by official analysis is not to be despised in these days of adulteration."

As many marked copies of the New York Times containing the erroneous report of the Food Commission were maliciously circulated by some would-be competitors, the Times printed the aforesaid editorial and complete retraction.